Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/684,712	KNOP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> .	(3)	
(2) Anthony A. Bisulca.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF Mr. Bisulca said case has been let gone abandoned.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
<u>-</u>		
Part III.		takan da wasan ahar da kanada wa
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirection of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirection of all includes. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
goe Anther	1/5/	16
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)